

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,048	04/19/2001	Koichiro Nakatani	M1596-238	9436
7278	7590 01/22/2003			
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			EXAMINER	
P. O. BOX 52: NEW YORK,	57 NY 10150-5257		HARTMANN, GARY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
		DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
. 1		09/830,048	NAKATANI, KOICHIRO		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gary Hartmann	3671		
Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address		
A SHOF THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO pe - Failure tr - Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is included the provision of 37 CFR 1.13  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is included the provision of th	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) 🛛 🕒 F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 D</u>	<u> December 2002</u> .			
2a)⊠ 1	his action is FINAL. 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims	•			
4)⊠ C	aim(s) 1-3,5,6 and 8-18 is/are pending in the	application.			
4a	) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5)∏ C	aim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 8-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) C	aim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)☐ Cl Application	aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Papers	election requirement.			
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examiner	;			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)⊠ Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on <u>12/9/2</u>	<u>002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)⊡ disap	pproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice o	References Cited (PTO-892) To Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Ton Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S Patent and Trade	mark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Art Unit: 3671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuhl (U.S. Patent 5,407,295). Kuhl discloses the telescoping tubular members (2, 3) having the press applying and receiving surfaces (5, 6, 7, 8) and disposed in a rotational relationship (Figures 1 and 2, for example).

There are a plurality of press applying and receiving surfaces.

There are step and catch portions (Figure 1, for example).

The structure of Kuhl (Figure 10, for example) meets the recitations regarding the receiving/catch structure.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3671

4. Claims 11-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuhl, as applied above, and further in view of Gaynor (U.S. Patent 5,003,328). Kuhl does not teach tripods; however, tripods having telescoping members are common, as exemplified by Gaynor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the assemblies of Kuhl with the legs of Gaynor in order to obtain quick deployment, in accordance with the invention of Kuhl.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/9/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments are directed towards the graph of Figure 4 and the discussion thereof in the detailed description. The examiner notes that the firm connection discussed is established after the initial rotation of the two members relative to one another described at column 6, lines 60-67. Once the surfaces have been initially rotated by about 5 degrees (shown in Figures 2 and 4), a connection is established that becomes firmer as the rotation continues to about 25 degrees. Figure 4 shows that the there is also a torque required to release the members once rotated, which is the discussion towards which applicant's arguments are directed. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because the newly added claim limitations are met by the initial position, shown in Figure 1 and prior to the ~5 degree rotation necessary to establish the initial connection. Referring again to column 6, lines 62-64, it is clear that rotation to establish the initial connection is a single direction only. Referring to Figure 1, the configuration of the wedges (5, 6) prevent reverse rotation that would allow the press applying and receiving

Art Unit: 3671

surfaces to be pressed together. Therefore, the claim limitations are met and the rejection is maintained.

The examiner has withdrawn the rejections regarding Upton, Raymond, and Craig; therefore, only arguments regarding Kuhl have been addressed.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3671

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Gary Hartmann
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

gh January 21, 2003